Subject: File 2025-64274-I – Update: Apparent Rollback of YouTube/Google Suppression Measures (Corrected Video Title)

From Thesulfurgod@protonmail.com

To hrto.registrar@Ontario.ca , kdpcontractnotice@amazon.com, bnlegal@bn.com

Date Friday, December 12th, 2025 at 5:28 AM

Subject: File 2025-64274-I – Update: Apparent Rollback of YouTube/Google Suppression Measures (Corrected Video Title)
Registrar and parties,
For the record, following recent filings, YouTube/Google appear to have rolled back certain suppression measures (e.g., videos now appearing in search results, no bot checks).
Example:
“HRTO File 2025-64274-I – Update on Mediation and Evidence Preservation”
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=55VXzT1g4Uo
This does not negate prior documented interference but is noted as a positive development.
Thank you,
Brendan S. Rose
December 12, 2025

On Friday, December 12th, 2025 at 5:16 AM, BRENDAN ROSE <thesulfurgod@protonmail.com> wrote:

> **Subject:** File 2025-64274-I – Update: Apparent Rollback of YouTube/Google Suppression Measures
> To: HRTO.Registrar@ontario.ca
> CC: Amazon counsel, Barnes & Noble counsel
> Registrar,
> For the Tribunal’s record, previous suppression measures by Google appear to have been rolled back in response to recent filings. The applicant notes that apparent suppression measures on YouTube/Google (deletion of evidence videos, comment hiding, search throttling) appear to have partially or fully been rolled back since the recent filings raising interference in this proceeding.
>
> - Previously, searches for “Brendan Rose my life story” returned unrelated or buried results.
> - As of December 12, 2025, the applicant’s videos now appear in the top results without apparent throttling (see attached screenshot).
> - The live premiere video (“SHOOTING MEDIATION IN THE HEAD”) from December 12 is fully public and accessible.
>
> This rollback does not negate the prior interference documented in filings (e.g., Rumble upload stall, prior video deletions timed to new evidence). However, it is noted as a positive development and demonstrates the Respondents’ (or associated platforms’) ability to adjust measures upon awareness of Tribunal proceedings.
> The applicant continues to request a hearing on the merits and reserves the right to seek costs for the documented suppression.
> We consider this encouraging and await Google's response to the request for restoration of the Squee505 account and/or the metadata associated with it.
> Regards,
> Brendan S. Rose
> Applicant (self-represented)
> December 12, 2025
> **Attachment:** YouTube_Search_Screenshot_2025-12-12.pdf
> Form 23 - Statement of Delivery.pdf